

News | EU AI Act readiness

AI in production now has a deadline. The next cut-off is 2 December 2026.

Technical study for companies already running AI: what the EU AI Act requires and when, what can be resolved in the stack and what needs legal validation. Globodain inventories systems, implements controls and produces auditable evidence; it does not certify compliance or replace legal advice.

2 Dec


2026 | Art. 50(2)
transparency and new
bans

2027

Annex III high-risk
obligations

7 %

of global turnover as the
maximum fine





REGULATORY EXPOSURE

Every system left out of the inventory is a risk nobody has sized.

THE CHALLENGE

AI is already in production and the inventory is not.

WHERE THIS PROFILE IS EXPOSED TODAY

No reliable inventory of what counts as an AI system →

Chatbots and synthetic content without transparency notices →

Training data and logs without auditable traceability →

Undetected high-risk use in HR, credit or scoring →


Several model vendors and no single technical owner →

Product absorbs compliance at the expense of the roadmap →

THE MARKET

The risk has a price tag and most approaches cover half of it.

Maximum fines under Art. 99 (the higher amount applies; for SMEs, the lower) and the approaches competing for the same budget today.



COMMON APPROACHES AND THEIR BLIND SPOT

Legal advice alone	Sound legal judgement, but no stack changes or technical evidence
Generic GRC suite	Questionnaires and policies with little link to code and models
Big Four compliance pack	Long project, many teams, little continuous delivery
Governance SaaS	Model registry; implementation still falls on the internal team
Hyperscaler + checklist	Platform controls that do not cover your inventory or Art. 50 duties
Ad hoc internal team	Engineering absorbs compliance between sprints, with no method or calendar

Documenting without touching systems builds regulatory debt. The edge goes to teams that inventory, adapt and evidence in the same cycle.

WHAT WE PROPOSE

One technology partner from inventory to evidence.

Globodain turns the Act into concrete stack changes and evidence that legal, clients and auditors can read.

Leadership

Priority by risk and deadline

Which systems to tackle before 2 Dec 2026 and which to plan for 2027.

Product

Comply without stalling


Notices, logging and governance built into the development cycle.

Legal / compliance

Auditable evidence

Traceable material that supports legal judgement without replacing it.

FROM SIX FRONTS TO ONE OWNER




EXPECTED IMPACT

More systems under control with the same team.

When inventory, transparency and watch stop competing with the product roadmap.

SYSTEMS WITH EVIDENCE READY (INDEX)



WHERE TECHNICAL TIME GOES

TODAY

42%

58%

WITH PARTNER

14%

86%

Inventory, notices and due diligence

Product and customers

×1.9

systems with evidence by period end, versus running without a partner

−28 pts

of engineering capacity returned to product

6 → 1

technical fronts, one owner

Illustrative scenario, not a forecast. Index 100 = systems with minimum evidence at start. Assumption: freed time returns to product.



CONTINUOUS WATCH

Compliance is not a milestone: it is an operating capability.

METHODOLOGY

A continuous cycle, synced with the Act milestones.

Each iteration delivers an updated inventory, closed gaps and priorities for the next deadline.

01

Diagnosis

Real AI use, vendors, data, notices and possible high-risk cases.

02

Inventory and risk

Risk-level classification and a gap backlog with owners and dates.

03

Implementation

Transparency, logging, access and controls, alongside your team.

04

Watch

Ongoing follow-through to Annex III (2027) and regulated products (2028).

What we do | 01

Technical inventory of AI systems with prioritised, dated gaps

What we do | 02

Stack implementation: transparency, logging, access and governance

What we do | 03

Auditable evidence so legal or audit can close their work

BENEFITS

What this profile gains before each deadline.

01

2 December under control
Art. 50(2) and new bans with a dated technical plan.

02

Living inventory
Systems, vendors and risk level on a single map.

03

No 2027 surprises
Annex III high-risk prepared with margin.

04

Faster due diligence
Clients and auditors receive ordered evidence.

05

One partner
Data, security and AI in the same conversation.

06

The roadmap moves
Compliance stops monopolising every sprint.

THREE WAYS TO TACKLE IT

	Internal team only	Several vendors	Globodain as partner
TIME TO START	When there is slack	Weeks per area	From diagnosis
STACK CHANGES	If time allows	Out of scope	Included
OWNERS	One, overloaded	One per front	One for everything
DEADLINE VIEW	Partial	Fragmented	One calendar
EVIDENCE	Loose folders	Disconnected reports	One report

WHY GLOBODAIN

Applied engineering for teams already running AI.

01**Orbit diagnosis**

Art. 4, Art. 50 and Annex III mapped onto real use.

02**Technical audit**

Stack, data, integrations and model surface.

03**Implementation**

Notices, logging, access and governance, without replacing your team.

04**Cybersecurity**

Controls on systems that already handle sensitive data.

05**Data and GDPR**

Traceability aligned with privacy and the AI Act.

06**Watch**

Early warning when a regulatory milestone moves the roadmap.

WHERE TECHNICAL ADJUSTMENT ENDS

- We do not certify that a system meets the EU AI Act
- We do not issue seals, legal opinions or legal advice
- Not every existing system can be adapted without redesign or withdrawal

Adapting systems to the EU AI Act is technical work with limits. Prohibited uses are not «fixed»: they are withdrawn. High-risk duties may require redesign. Globodain delivers the engineering; legal conformity is validated by authorised counsel.

Scope note: we describe applicable capabilities, not a certification or an accredited track record as an AI Act auditor.

Does your case look like this profile? Let's review it before 2 December.

Rubén Ayuso reviews with you which AI systems are exposed, which deadline applies and what can be resolved in the stack. Technical adjustment does not replace legal advice.

[Analyse my case](#)

TO ANALYSE YOUR CASE

Rubén Ayuso

✉ ruben@globodain.com

[in LinkedIn](#)

🌐 rubenayuso.es

Technical study by Globodain built from public sources on the sector. It does not certify EU AI Act compliance, does not describe a specific company and was not prepared with one. Figures and scenarios are indicative.

